



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,482	10/23/2001	Kevin Richardson	1001.1441101	4029
	590 04/22/2003			
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			LAM, ANN Y	
MINNEAPOLI	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3763 DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	A

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			/1.			
<u></u>		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
		10/047,482	RICHARDSON, KEVIN			
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ann Y. Lam	3763			
Peri df	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cov rsh et with the c	orrespondence address			
THE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	wii iioiii consideration.				
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	election requirement				
• —	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or of the contraction and/or of the contract of	election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	<u> </u>	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					



Application/Control Number: 10/047,482

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-24, 27 and 28, drawn to a catheter system, classified in class 604, subclass 96.01.
- II. Claims 25 and 26, drawn to a method for gaining access to the ducts of the biliary tree, classified in class 604, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as use in a vasculature.

In addition to the restriction above, Applicant must elect among the species claimed.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: embodiment in Figure 7; embodiment in Figure 8; embodiment in Figure 9; embodiment in Figure 10; and embodiment in Figure 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,482

Art Unit: 3763

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to David Crompton on April 16, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,482

Art Unit: 3763

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Y. Lam whose telephone number is (703) 306-5560. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (703)308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3590 for regular communications and (703)306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

April 16, 2003

MICHAEL J. HAYES
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Staye